



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/666,236	09/19/2003	Ammar Derraa	100718.422 MIC-80 DV	. 8484	
23483 75	90 02/09/2005		EXAMINER		
WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP 60 STATE STREET			LEURIG, SH	LEURIG, SHARLENE L	
BOSTON, MA	~		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2879	,	
			DATE MAILED: 02/09/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/666,236	DERRAA, AMMAR				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sharlene Leurig	2879				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 05 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee						
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>01 January 2005</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
D. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						
·						

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the applicant's arguments are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the Levine reference fails to anticipate the claimed invention, as Levine allegedly does not disclose a column line structure as claimed. Examiner disagrees and directs applicant to Figure 9 of Levine, which clearly illustrates a conductive structure for a column line (18,19). Figure 6 illustrates a resistive layer (15) formed on the conductive structure and an insulative layer (125) formed partly over the resistive layer. The applicant's argument that the Levine reference fails to disclose a column line as claimed is not persuasive, as the claims fail to distinguish the applicant's invention from the structure disclosed by Levine. It is unclear to the examiner why applicant argues that Levine completely omits an insulative layer on top of the resistive layer, as Levine explicitly describes (125) as an insulative layer and (15) as a resistive layer. Figure 6 clearly illustrates resistive layer (15) as being formed partly over the insulative layer (125). As to applicant's arguments regarding claim 8, Levine discloses an insulation layer (125) on the column line (18,19), the insulation layer being capable of inhibiting shorting with the row lines (24) (column 4, lines 30-57). Therefore the rejection of record is considered to be proper and is maintained.

NIMESHKUMAR D. PATEL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800